

Danger Signals In the Fight for United Front Action

By CHEMADANOV,
Secretary of Y. C. J.

ARTICLE 3

One of the Austrian delegates, speaking at the Plenum of the YSI, said thus, approximately—that he does not believe that the position of the Communists has changed, it has remained the same as before, but it appears to have changed, because this is demanded by the foreign policy of the USSR. This "argument" against the united front deserves special attention, because it is used very often by the OPPONENTS of the united front.

Before speaking on this question, we want to call to your attention the surprising shrewdness of this delegate when he speaks of the position of the Communists. Correct! Absolutely correct, that the Communists stand solidly and unwaveringly on the Marxist-Leninist revolutionary position of irreconcilable class struggle.

They were and remain the deadly enemies of fascism. They carried on, and still carry on, the struggle against the dangers of a new imperialist war. They struggled and will struggle for the unity of the working class and its mobilization in the struggle against the rule of the capitalists, for the dictatorship of the proletariat, for socialism. That is correct. But what does the statement mean in saying that the Communists changed their position "because this is demanded by the foreign policy of Russia".

What did the author of this statement want to imply by this. We do not know whether or not it is known to this delegate, that the fascist papers in Germany, which were alarmed by the international anti-fascist campaign in the defense of Thaelmann and by the creation of the united front in France, began to explain this by the "intrigues" of Moscow and the work of the "agents" of the Comintern?

Does he know, or not, that ev-

STUDENTS STRIKE IN N. Y. C.

(Continued from page 4)

inson, the other representing Mussolini, was brought up. One hand of the figure held an umbrella, the other a copy of True Story Magazine, for which Robinson had written an article.

By this time the crowd had grown to over 4,000. A mass picket line was formed on Convent Avenue and the students marched to the athletic field where the effigy was burnt.

The strikers then reformed around the flagpole and students from other colleges brought solidarity greetings.

The strike arises from the support Robinson gave to fascist students who had been invited by Robinson to the school. The anti-fascist students demonstrated against the support being given by Robinson to the dissemination of fascist "good-will" being brought to City College. In the addresses of greeting Edwin Alexander, the President of the Student Council, who had been appointed by the Student Council to speak for them opened by saying "I bring anti-fascist greetings from the students of City College." He was prevented from continuing and in the following days, the anti-Robinson, anti-fascist sentiment of the students was brought to a white-heat by the expulsion of 21, the suspension of 6 and the suspension of the Student Council.

The students are determined to Oust Robinson. "Reinstate the 21 expelled and "Reinstate the Student Council" and this strike is only the beginning of their action

everywhere the capitalist press, whenever the working class rises in struggle and in the period of parliamentary elections, utilizes for the purpose of scaring the petty bourgeoisie and voters, with the purpose of discrediting the Communist Party and its policy, the already worn out and long ago exposed "new" false documents about the "hand of Moscow", about the plans of the III. International to cut up all the live, dead and unborn?

Most likely he knows this. It is strange to hear this at the Plenum of the Executive Committee of the Socialist Youth International and more so from the delegate from the same Austria, from which hundreds of its heroic sons, the Schutzbunders, are energetically toiling in the USSR for the building of a socialist, classless society.

When the capitalists in the same way, as was the case in Germany, try to convince the masses, that the Communist Party carried out the policy of Moscow, they try by this means to prove that the Communist Party in each country is a FOREIGN body, that it defends and carries out the policy of a government of a FOREIGN nation.

This is absurd, but it is a fact that such arguments are used. It is also an absurdity, that the tools of finance capitalism, the German fascists have as their emblem of labor — the hammer and sickle — but it is a fact nevertheless. For every honest politically educated person it is known that the Communist Party is the flesh and blood of the working class, that it always struggles for the interests of the working class and the small farmers and peasants. It would cease to be a Marxist revolutionary party if in its strategy and tactics it did not carry out independently, in accordance with the relation of class forces, the tasks and conditions of struggle in its country. Does not the heroic struggle of the Communist Party of China, Japan, Germany, Spain, Austria, Poland, France and Cuba speak of this?

The capitalists, powerless to destroy the Communist Party and stop the growth of Communist influence, use the most infamous methods, including nationalist and chauvinist moods, in the struggle against it. Why was it necessary for the Austrian Delegate to do this?

Why Such Arguments?

When the bourgeoisie attacks the Communist Party and tries to picture it as carrying out the policy of "Moscow" it not only tries to discredit the Communist Party. It takes very deeply into account that amongst the toilers in the country there is a growing sympathy to the victories of socialism. The capitalists take into consideration that it is very hard to prepare the masses for an imperialist war against the USSR. It tries with all its forces to do this. While attacking the Communist Party in this way it tries, at the same time to antagonize the toiling masses AGAINST the working class and collective farmers of the USSR. It tries to discredit among the toiling masses the socialist construction in the USSR and the improvement of the economic conditions of the toiling masses who are unselfishly struggling for socialism.

The successes of the USSR in the struggle for socialism gives encouragement to the international proletariat and shows them the WAY OUT of oppression slavery and want. Does the Austrian delegate know about this? And if he does know, then how did it come about that, whether consciously or unconsciously, he used this capitalist argument in the struggle against the united front.

USE YOUR VOICE